

From: [Anne Vaillant](#)
To: [Clark, Jacob, DOH](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public comment on proposed 7.35.3 NMAC, October 2, 2026 hearing
Date: Tuesday, September 29, 2026 3:57:11 PM

[You don't often get email from vaillantanne@hotmail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

CAUTION: This email originated outside of our organization. Exercise caution prior to clicking on links or opening attachments.

To the New Mexico Department of Health:

I am a New Mexico resident in Ranchos de Taos, and I am also a clinician. I am writing to comment on proposed rule 7.35.3 NMAC ahead of the October 2, 2026 hearing.

As a psychiatric provider trained in risks and benefits of PAT I want to see this statute rolled out as safely and accessibly as possible

I ask the department to make the following changes in the final rule.

1. The property-owner statement, 7.35.3.11(B)(9).

Delete paragraph (B)(9) of 7.35.3.11. If the department wants documentation of a home setting, follow Colorado here: the patient documents their own legal right to occupy the residence, no owner signs anything, and the clinician brings only the session dose and removes anything unused the same day.

The landlord interest that justifies the cannabis provision, smoking on the property, is not present in a supervised psilocybin session administered by a licensed facilitator. A signed acknowledgment gives an owner nothing to gain and something to lose, so many will decline to sign, and care at home for anyone who does not own their home will end before they are able to receive treatment.

2. The certifying clinician, 7.35.3.8 and 7.35.3.9(D).

Remove the certifying clinician design. In its place, regulate around the specific contraindications, medical histories and medications that warrant clinical review. Where a risk factor is identified, require an attestation from a clinician whose scope of practice fits that risk that screening was conducted and benefits outweigh risks.

The design exceeds the Act and misunderstands where the risk is. The most significant risks in psilocybin-assisted therapy are psychological, or medical risks that must be screened on the day of administration, such as intoxication. A one-time "prescriber" gateway misses them. It also creates a clinical encounter whose only purpose is program entry, which insurers will treat as lacking independent medical necessity, inviting claim denials and clawbacks.

Thank you for considering my comment.

Anne Vaillant
Ranchos de Taos, New Mexico